

Recall: Quiz 1 will take place on Thurs.

Q1. From HWK1. Q1  $\rightarrow$  Q6 (not X-credit problems)

Q2. Truth table (easy).

---

Chapter 2 Logic

We study the mathematical language to read and write correct (logical) mathematics.

2.1 Statements

• A statement is a sentence/assertion, which we can decide that it is true (T) or false (F).

• Examples. The integer 57 is a prime number, <sup>F</sup> It is raining now, <sup>F</sup>  $2 + 4 = 6$ , <sup>T</sup>

• An open sentence is an assertion with one or more variables chosen from a domain  $S$ .

• Example.  $P(x): x > 3$ . Here the domain can be  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}$ , or  $\mathbb{R}$ .

• A statement may be true (T) or false (F); two statements have 4 possible combination;

• 3 statements have 8 possible combination; ...;  $n$  statements have  $2^n$  combination.

• We may draw the truth table for that.

Example

How are you?

Have you had breakfast?

} not statements

I have breakfast, T

Example

Let  $S = \{\text{people in 214}\}$ .

$P(x): x$  is a boy.

$x = CK$ , " $CK$  is a boy" is a true statement. T

$x = CK$ , then  $P(x)$  is true T

$x = Liz$  "Liz is a boy" F

$x = Liz$  " $P(x)$ " F

Example

$S = \mathbb{N}$   $P(x): x > 3$ .

Truth set of  $P(x)$  with domain  $S = \mathbb{N}$  is

$$T = \{x \in \mathbb{N} : P(x) \text{ is true}\}$$

$$= \{x \in \mathbb{N} : x > 3\}$$

$$= \{4, 5, 6, 7, 8, \dots\}$$

$\{3, 2, 1\}$

//

Remark: We can see that for all  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $P(x)$  is false

## 2.2/2.3 Negation, disjunction, and conjunction of statements

- Negation of  $P$ , denoted by  $\sim P$ ;
- Disjunction:  $P$  or  $Q$ , denoted by  $P \vee Q$ ;
- Conjunction:  $P$  and  $Q$ , denoted by  $P \wedge Q$ .
- Examples and truth tables.

"It is not  $P$ ."

Example  $P$ : It is raining  
 $Q$ :  $2+4=6$

Let  $P$  be a statement. Then we have the following truth table of the negation statement of  $P$ .  
 "denial"

$P$	$\sim P$
T	F
F	T

Let  $P, Q$  be statements.

We can form the disjunction & conjunction of the two statements

$P$  and  $Q$  wedge

Conjunction

$P$	$Q$	$P \wedge Q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	F

$P(2+4=6) \wedge (5 \text{ is prime})$   
 $(2+4=6) \wedge (5 \text{ is not a prime})$

Let  $P, Q$

Disjunction

$P$	$Q$	$P \vee Q$	$P \wedge Q$
T	T	T	T
T	F	T	F
F	T	T	F
F	F	F	F

## 2.4/2.5/2.6 Implication and biconditional

- Implication: If  $P$  then  $Q$  (also,  $P$  implies  $Q$ ), denoted by  $P \Rightarrow Q$ .
- The statement  $P$  is the hypothesis/premise, and the statement  $Q$  is the conclusion.
- Biconditional:  $P$  is equivalent to  $Q$  (also,  $P$  if and only if  $Q$ ), denoted by  $P \Leftrightarrow Q$ .
- Examples and truth tables.

Conditional

Example:

P	Q	$P \Rightarrow Q$	$Q \Rightarrow P$
T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F
F	T	T	T
F	F	T	T

eg "you one gets 93% or above in coursework" then "the person will get an A for the course".

eg Q then P ; Q implies P

Q	P	$Q \Rightarrow P$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

Assume

eg P is true, Q is ~~true~~ false:

$P \wedge Q$	F
$P \vee Q$	T
$P \Rightarrow Q$	F
<del><math>P \Leftrightarrow Q</math></del>	
$Q \Rightarrow P$	T

Biconditional

P if and only if Q.

"one gets an A in this class"

if and only if "one gets 93% or above in coursework"

P	Q	$P \Leftrightarrow Q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	T

"Logical equivalence"  
 Biconditional,  $\Leftrightarrow$  and  
 $P \Leftrightarrow Q$

The following compound statements have the same truth table.

P	Q	$P \Rightarrow Q$	$Q \Rightarrow P$	$(P \Rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \Rightarrow P)$
T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	F
F	T	T	F	F
F	F	T	T	T

P	Q	$P \Leftrightarrow Q$	$P \Rightarrow Q$	$Q \Rightarrow P$	$(P \Rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \Rightarrow P)$
T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	T	F
F	T	F	T	F	F
F	F	T	T	T	T

We say that

" $P \Leftrightarrow Q$ " is logically equivalent to  
 " $(P \Rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \Rightarrow P)$ ".



De Morgan's Law.

$$\sim(P \wedge Q) \equiv (\sim P) \vee (\sim Q)$$

$$\sim(P \vee Q) \equiv (\sim P) \wedge (\sim Q)$$

P	Q	$P \wedge Q$	$\sim(P \wedge Q)$	$\sim P$	$\sim Q$	$\sim P \vee \sim Q$
T	T	T	F	F	F	F
T	F	F	T	F	T	T
F	T	F	T	T	F	T
F	F	F	T	T	T	T

Similarly,  $\equiv$  we can prove  $\sim(P \vee Q) \equiv (\sim P) \wedge (\sim Q)$ .

Application to set theory:

Let  $A, B$  be subsets of the universal set  $U$ .

$$A \cap B = \{x \in U : (x \in A) \text{ and } (x \in B)\}$$

$$\overline{A \cap B} = \{x \in U : \sim[(x \in A) \text{ and } (x \in B)]\}$$

$$\bar{A} = \{x \in U : \sim(x \in A)\}$$

$$\bar{B} = \{x \in U : \sim(x \in B)\}$$

$$\bar{A} \cup \bar{B} = \{x \in U : [\sim(x \in A)] \text{ or } [\sim(x \in B)]\}$$

So  $\overline{A \cap B} = \{x \in U : \sim[(x \in A) \text{ and } (x \in B)]\}$

$$= \{x \in U : [\sim(x \in A)] \text{ or } [\sim(x \in B)]\}$$

$$= \bar{A} \cup \bar{B}$$

$$\therefore \overline{A \cap B} = \bar{A} \cup \bar{B} \quad \Big| \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Similarly} \\ \overline{A \cup B} = \bar{A} \cap \bar{B} \end{array}$$