

Eight points for each question.

1. Let  $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_n$  be such that  $|a_{ii}| \geq \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

- (a) For each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , define  $G_i(A) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - a_{ii}| \leq \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}| \right\}$ . Now, if  $|a_{ii}| > \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then  $0 \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^n G_i(A)$ . By Theorem 5.1.1 (Gershgorin Theorem), all eigenvalues of  $A$  are contained in  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n G_i(A)$ . Thus, 0 is not an eigenvalue of  $A$ . Therefore,  $A$  must be invertible.
- (b) Suppose  $a_{ii} \neq 0$  for all  $i$  and  $|a_{ii}| > \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|$  for all but one  $i$ 's, without loss of generality say at  $i = 1$  (otherwise, apply a permutation similarity). That is

$$|a_{11}| = \sum_{j \neq 1} |a_{1j}|.$$

Note that for any  $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $a_{i1} \neq 0$ , we have

$$1 < \frac{|a_{ii}| - \sum_{j \neq 1, i} |a_{ij}|}{|a_{i1}|}.$$

Thus, there must be a  $\varepsilon > 1$  such that for all such  $i$ ,

$$\frac{1}{a_{11}} \sum_{j \neq 1} |a_{1j}| = 1 < \varepsilon < \frac{|a_{ii}| - \sum_{j \neq 1, i} |a_{ij}|}{|a_{i1}|}.$$

Then define  $B = (b_{ij}) = D^{-1}AD$ , where  $D = \text{diag}(\varepsilon, 1, \dots, 1)$ . Then

$$\sum_{j \neq i} |b_{ij}| = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |a_{i\bar{i}}| < |a_{i\bar{i}}| = b_{i\bar{i}}$$

and for all  $i > 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{j \neq i} |b_{ij}| = \left( \sum_{j \neq 1, i} |a_{ij}| \right) + \varepsilon a_{i1} < a_{ii} = b_{ii}$$

- (c) **(Extra 4 points)** The conclusion (b) fails if we do not assume that  $|a_{ii}| \geq \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . A counterexample is  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Note that  $|a_{11}| < |a_{12}|$  and  $|a_{22}| > |a_{21}|$  but  $A$  is not invertible.

2. Let  $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_n$  with an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  and  $x$  be an eigenvector of  $A$  corresponding to  $\lambda$ . Suppose  $D = \text{diag}(a_{11}, \dots, a_{nn})$  and  $B = A - D$ . Then  $Bx = Ax - Dx = \lambda x - Dx = (\lambda I - D)x$ . If  $\lambda \neq a_{ii}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, a_{nn}$ , then the diagonal matrix  $\lambda I - D$  is invertible and hence

$$(\lambda I - D)^{-1}Bx = (\lambda I - D)^{-1}(\lambda I - D)x = Ix = x.$$

Therefore  $x$  is an eigenvector of  $(\lambda I - D)^{-1}B$  corresponding to the eigenvalue 1.

3. Let  $A \in M_n$  with columns  $v_1, \dots, v_n$ . Let  $B = A^*A = (b_{ij})$  and note that

$$\det(B) = \det(A^*A) = \overline{\det(A)} \det(A) = |\det(A)|^2.$$

Since  $B$  is positive semidefinite (by Theorem 2.2.5), we can apply HW 5 Problem 3 to conclude that

$$|\det(A)|^2 = \det(B) \leq \prod_{j=1}^n b_{jj} = \prod_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \overline{a_{kj}} a_{jk} = \prod_{j=1}^n \ell_2^2(v_j)$$

Taking square roots we get,  $|\det(A)| \leq \prod_{j=1}^n \ell_2(v_j)$ . By HW 7 Problem 4, we have  $\ell_2(v_j) \leq \ell_1(v_j)$ . Thus  $|\det(A)| \leq \prod_{j=1}^n \ell_1(v_j)$ .

Applying the above result to  $A^t = [y_1 \mid \dots \mid y_n]$ , we get

$$|\det(A)| = |\det(A^t)| \leq \prod_{j=1}^n \ell_1(y_j) = \prod_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n |a_{jk}|$$

4. Consider  $f(z) = z^n + a_1 z^{n-1} + \dots + a_n$ . Suppose  $\mu$  is a zero of  $f(z)$ .

(a) Note that  $\mu$  is an eigenvalue of the companion matrix of  $f$ , that is

$$C_f = \begin{bmatrix} -a_1 & -a_2 & \cdots & \cdots & -a_n \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = (c_{ij})$$

Define the Gershgorin discs  $G_j$  centered at the  $j^{\text{th}}$  diagonal entry of  $C_f$  with radius equal to absolute row sum of the  $j^{\text{th}}$  row. By the Gershgorin theorem,  $\mu \in \bigcup_{j=1}^n G_j$ .

If  $\mu \in G_i$  for  $i > 1$ , then  $|\mu - c_{ii}| = |\mu| \leq \sum_{j \neq i} |c_{ij}| = 1$ . On the other hand, if  $\mu \in G_1$ ,

then  $|\mu - c_{11}| = |\mu + a_1| \leq \sum_{j \neq 1} |c_{1j}| = \sum_{k=2}^n |a_k|$ . Using triangle inequality

$$|\mu| \leq |\mu + a_1| + |a_1| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n |a_k|.$$

Thus,  $|\mu| \leq \max\{1, \sum_{j=1}^n |a_j|\}$ .

We can use the same arguments above for  $C_f^t$ , which has the same eigenvalues as  $C_f$ . Define the Gershgorin discs  $\tilde{G}_j$  centered at the  $j^{\text{th}}$  diagonal entry of  $C_f^t$  with radius equal to absolute column sum of the  $j^{\text{th}}$  column. By the Gershgorin theorem,  $\mu \in \bigcup_{j=1}^n \tilde{G}_j$ . If  $\mu \in \tilde{G}_1$ , then  $|\mu| \leq |\mu + a_1| + |a_1| \leq 1 + |a_1|$ . If  $\mu \in G_n$ , then  $|\mu| \leq |a_n|$ . Lastly, if  $\mu \in G_j$  for  $1 < j < n$ , then  $|\mu| \leq 1 + |a_j|$ . Therefore,  $|\mu| \leq \max(\{1 + |a_j| : 1 \leq j \leq n-1\} \cup \{|a_n|\})$ .

- (b) Let  $v^* = [-a_1 \ \dots \ -a_{n-1}]$  so that  $C_f = \begin{bmatrix} v^* & -a_n \\ I_{n-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $C_f C_f^* = \begin{bmatrix} v^* v + |a_n|^2 & v^* \\ v & I_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$ . Note that  $I_{n-1}$  is a principal submatrix of  $C_f C_f^*$ . Using Theorem 3.4.2 (interlacing theorem), we get

$$s_1^2(C_f) \geq \underbrace{\lambda_1(I_{n-1})}_{=1} \geq s_2^2(C_f) \geq \underbrace{\lambda_2(I_{n-1})}_{=1} \geq \dots \geq s_{n-1}^2(C_f) \geq \underbrace{\lambda_{n-1}(I_{n-1})}_{=1} \geq s_n^2(C_f)$$

Therefore,  $s_2(C_f) = \dots = s_{n-1}(C_f) = 1$ .

If  $\gamma = \|v\| = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |a_j|^2} = 0$ . Then it is obvious from the form of  $C_f C_f^*$  that  $\{|a_n|, 1\} = \{s_1(C_f), s_n(C_f)\}$ . If  $\|v\| \neq 0$ , then let  $U$  be an  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  unitary matrix whose last column is  $\frac{v}{\|v\|}$ . Consider

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_{n-1} \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} C_f \begin{bmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & \text{sgn}(-a_n) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{n-2} & 0 \\ 0 & X \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \gamma & |a_n| \end{pmatrix}.$$

$U$  is unitarily equivalent to  $C_f$ , so  $B$  has the same singular values as  $C_f$ . Therefore  $s_1(C_f)$  and  $s_n(C_f)$  are the singular values of  $X$ .

- (c) From (b), we can compute the singular values of  $X$  by looking at  $XX^* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \gamma \\ \gamma & \gamma^2 + |a_n|^2 \end{bmatrix}$  which has characteristic polynomial  $p(x) = x^2 - (1 + \gamma^2 + |a_n|^2)x + |a_n|^2$ . And therefore

$$s_1^2(C_f) = \frac{1 + \gamma^2 + |a_n|^2 + \sqrt{(1 + \gamma^2 + |a_n|^2)^2 - 4|a_n|^2}}{2}$$

and

$$s_n^2(C_f) = \frac{1 + \gamma^2 + |a_n|^2 - \sqrt{(1 + \gamma^2 + |a_n|^2)^2 - 4|a_n|^2}}{2}$$

Next, consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{1}{2} \{ \sqrt{(1 + |a_n|^2)^2 + \gamma^2} + \sqrt{(1 - |a_n|^2)^2 + \gamma^2} \} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \{ 2 + 2|a_n|^2 + 2\gamma^2 + 2\sqrt{(1 + |a_n|^2)^2 + \gamma^2}(1 - |a_n|^2)^2 + \gamma^2 \} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \{ 1 + |a_n|^2 + \gamma^2 + \sqrt{(1 - |a_n|^2)^2 + \gamma^4 + 2(1 + |a_n|^2)\gamma^2} \} \\ &= \frac{1 + \gamma^2 + |a_n|^2 + \sqrt{(1 + \gamma^2 + |a_n|^2)^2 - 4|a_n|^2}}{2} = s_1^2(C_f) \end{aligned}$$

Now,  $|\mu| \leq |\lambda_1(C_f)| \leq s_1(C_f)$ . Thus,  $|\mu| \leq \frac{1}{2} \{ \sqrt{(1 + |a_n|^2)^2 + \gamma^2} + \sqrt{(1 - |a_n|^2)^2 + \gamma^2} \}$ .

5. Let  $A \in M_n$  with an eigenvalue  $\mu$  such that  $A - \mu I$  has rank  $n - 1$ . Then  $Nul(A - \mu I)$  and  $Nul(A^* - \mu I)$  both have dimension 1. Let  $x_1, y_1$  be a right and left eigenvectors of  $A$  with  $y_1^* x = 1$ , that is  $Nul(A - \mu I) = Span\{x_1\}$   $Nul(A^* - \mu I) = Span\{y_1\}$ . Suppose  $\{x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  is a basis for  $Col(A - \mu I) = Nul(A^* - \mu I)^\perp$ . So  $y_1^* x_j = 0$  for  $j = 2, \dots, n$ . Then,  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  must form a basis for  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Thus, the matrix  $S = [x_1 \ \dots \ x_n]$  is invertible and  $S^{-1}$  has  $y_1^*$  as its first row. Denote the rows of  $S^{-1}$  by  $y_1^*, \dots, y_n^*$ . Then define  $B = S^{-1} A S = (y_i^* A x_j) = (b_{ij})$

$$b_{i1} = y_i^* A x_1 = \mu y_i^* x_1 = \mu \delta_{i1}$$

and

$$b_{1j} = y_1^* A x_j = \mu y_1^* x_j = \mu \delta_{1j}$$

and thus  $B = [\mu] \oplus A_1$ , where  $rank(A - \mu I) = rank(B - \mu I) = rank([0] \oplus (A_1 - \mu I)) = n - 1$ . This implies  $A_1 - \mu I$  is invertible.

6. Let  $A \in M_n$  be a nonnegative matrix, then  $I + A$  is also nonnegative. Assume that  $(I + A)^k$  is positive. Then by Theorem 5.3.1, we conclude that  $I + A$  has a simple eigenvalue  $r(I + A)$  with positive right and left eigenvectors  $x$  and  $y$ . However, since the eigenspace of  $I + A$  corresponding to an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  is the same as the eigenspace of  $A$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda - 1$ . Thus, we conclude that  $A$  has a simple eigenvalue  $r(A) = r(I + A) - 1$  with positive right and left eigenvectors  $x$  and  $y$ .

**(Extra eight points)** A matrix  $A \in M_n$  is irreducible if there is no permutation matrix  $P$  such that  $P^t A P = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}$  with  $A \in M_k$  with  $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ . Show that a nonnegative matrix  $A \in M_n$  is irreducible if and only if  $(A + I)^{n-1}$  is a positive matrix.

**Proof:** Suppose  $A \in M_n$  is a nonnegative matrix is reducible. Then up to a permutation similarity, we have  $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}$  with  $A_{11} \in M_k$  with  $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ . Then  $(I_n + A)^{n-1} = \begin{pmatrix} (I_k + A_{11})^{n-1} & * \\ 0 & (I + A_{22})^{n-k} \end{pmatrix}$ , which is not positive.

To prove the converse, we need to use some graph theory concept. Suppose  $A$  is irreducible. We can construct a graph  $G(I + A)$  with vertices  $1, \dots, n$  such that there is an arc  $(i, j)$  from vertex  $i$  to vertex  $j$  if  $a_{ij} \neq 0$  or  $i = j$ . It is easy to check that there one can go from vertex  $i$  to vertex  $j$  by forming a path  $i - j_1 - j_2 - j_3 - \dots - j_k = j$  with  $k$  arcs  $(i, j_1), (j_1, j_2), \dots, (j_{k-1}, j_k)$  if and only if  $(I + A)^k$  has a nonzero  $(i, j)$  entry. Moreover, there is a path from vertex  $i$  to vertex  $j$  implies that there is a path from vertex  $i$  to vertex  $j$  in fewer than  $n - 1$  steps.

Consider the set  $T_1$  of the vertices  $j$  that can be reached from vertex 1 to  $j$  in  $k$  steps for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . We claim that  $T_1 = \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Otherwise, relabeling the vertices so that  $T_1 = \{1, \dots, k\}$  with  $k < n$ . Then permute the rows and columns of  $A$  accordingly. We will have  $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}$  with  $A_{11} \in M_k$ . Note that  $A_{21}$  is nonzero. By permutation of the rows and columns with indices  $k + 1, \dots, n$ , we may assume that the first row of  $A_{21}$  is nonzero. Now,  $A^{k-1} = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{pmatrix}$  will be such that  $B_{11} \in M_k$  has positive entries so that  $A^k = A A^{k-1}$  has a positive entries at the  $(k + 1, 1)$  entry so that vertex  $k + 1$  can be reached by 1 by a length  $k$  path, which is a contradiction. Thus, if  $A$  is irreducible, then  $T_1 = \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and  $(I + A)^{n-1}$  has positive entries.

The first group

7. Let  $V \in M_{4,2}$  satisfy  $V^*V = I_2$ , and let  $P = VV^*$ .
- (a)  $V$  has a  $2 \times 2$  submatrix  $W$  with singular values  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  if and only if  $W$  can be written as  $W = SV$ , where  $S = \begin{bmatrix} e_i^* \\ e_j^* \end{bmatrix}$  for some  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$  with  $i \neq j$  and  $WW^*$  has eigenvalues  $s_1^2$  and  $s_2^2$ . Note that  $WW^* = SVV^*S^*$  is the principal submatrix of  $P$  indexed by  $i$  and  $j$ .
- (b) Note that  $P = VV^* \in M_4$  and  $V^*V = I_2$  have the same nonzero eigenvalues. Hence the eigenvalues of  $P$  must be 1 (with multiplicity 2) and 0 (with multiplicity 2). Thus,  $\det(zI - P) = z^2(z - 1)^2$ . By the interlacing theorem we have that for any  $2 \times 2$  principal submatrix of  $P$ ,

$$0 \leq s_1^2(P) \leq 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq s_2^2(P) \leq 1$$

Consider the compound matrix  $C_2(P)$ , which is a  $6 \times 6$  matrix whose entries are the determinants of all possible  $2 \times 2$  submatrices of  $P$ . Using 5.5.3, we get

$$C_2(P) = C_2(VV^*) = C_2(V)C_2(V^*) = xx^*$$

where  $x \in \mathbb{C}^6$  and  $x^*x$  is the sum of all the principal minors of  $P$ . By Theorem 5.5.2,  $x^*x = 1 = \text{sum of } 2 \times 2 \text{ principal minors of } I_2$ . Thus among, the largest principal minor must be greater than or equal to  $\frac{1}{6}$ . If this principal submatrix has eigenvalues  $s_1^2$  and  $s_2^2$ , then  $s_1^2 \leq 1$  and thus  $\frac{1}{6} \leq s_1^2 s_2^2 \leq s_2^2$ .

- (c) **(Extra credit open problem.** The solution will earn you an  $A$  for the course.) Show that there is a  $2 \times 2$  principal submatrix of  $P$  with smallest eigenvalue larger than or equal to  $1/4$ .
- (d) **(Extra credit open problem.** The solution will earn you an  $A$  for the course, and a research paper.) Prove that for any  $n \times k$  matrix  $V$  such that  $V^*V = I_k$ . There is an  $k \times k$  principal submatrix of  $P = VV^*$  with smallest eigenvalue larger than or equal to  $1/n$ .