

# Chapter 25 Finite Simple Groups

# Historical Background

**Definition** A group is simple if it has no nontrivial proper normal subgroup.

- The definition was proposed by Galois; he showed that  $A_n$  is simple for  $n \geq 5$  in 1831.
- It is an important step in showing that one cannot express the solutions of a quintic equation in radicals.
- If possible, one would factor a group  $G$  as  $G_0 = G$ , find a normal subgroup  $G_1$  of maximum order to form  $G_0/G_1$ . Then find a maximal normal subgroup  $G_2$  of  $G_1$  and get  $G_1/G_2$ , and so on until we get the composition factors:  $G_0/G_1, G_1/G_2, \dots, G_{n-1}/G_n$ , with  $G_n = \{e\}$ .
- Jordan and Hölder proved that these factors are independent of the choices of the normal subgroups in the process.
- Jordan in 1870 found four infinite series including:  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for a prime  $p$ ,  $SL(n, \mathbb{Z}_p)/Z(SL(n, \mathbb{Z}_p))$  except when  $(n, p) = (2, 2)$  or  $(2, 3)$ .
- Between 1862-1895, Dickson found more infinite series; Miller and Cole showed that 5 (sporadic) groups constructed by Mathieu in 1861 are simple.

- In 1950s, more infinite families were found, and the classification project began.
- Brauer observed that the centralizer has an order 2 element is important; Feit-Thompson in 1960 confirmed the 1900 conjecture that non-Abelian simple group must have even order.
- From 1966-75, 19 new sporadic groups were found.
- Thompson developed many techniques in the N-group paper.
- Gorenstein presented an outline for the classification project in a lecture series at University of Chicago in 1972.
- Aschbacher and Fischer further developed the techniques of Thompson.
- Then Griess construct the monster group with about  $8 \cdot 10^{53}$  elements represented as matrices in  $M_{196883}$ .
- In 2004, it was announced that the classification was completed.
- There are 18 countable series, and 26 sporadic groups. <sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>The Tits group in one of the series is also referred to as the 27th sporadic group by some researchers.

# Nonsimplicity Tests

**Theorem 25.1** If  $G$  is a finite group with  $|G| = n$ , which is a composite number, and  $p$  be a prime factor of  $n$ . If 1 is the only divisor of  $n$  that is equal to 1 modulo  $p$ , then  $G$  is not simple.

*Proof.* If  $n$  is a prime power, then the center of  $G$  is a non-trivial, and there will be a normal subgroup.

If  $n = p^r m$  such that  $p \nmid m$ , then the assumption implies that the Sylow  $p$ -subgroup is normal. □

**Remark** Try  $n = 4, 8, 9, 10, \dots$

From 1 to 200, simple groups could only have the following orders:

12, 24, 30, 36, 48, 56, 60, 72, 80, 90, 96, 105, 108, 112, 120, 132, 144, 150, 160, 168, 180, 192.

In fact, Theorem 25.1 can be used to rule out 90% of the numbers from 1 to  $n$  if  $n \geq 500$ .

**Theorem 25.2** If  $G$  is a finite group with  $|G| = n = 2(2k + 1)$ , where  $k \geq 1$ . Then  $G$  is not simple.

*Proof.* Consider the map from  $G$  to  $S_G$  defined by  $g \mapsto T_g$  such that  $T_g(x) = gx$ . Now,  $G$  has an element  $g$  of order 2 so that  $T_g$  is a product of length 2 and length 1 cycles. However,  $T_g$  has no 1-cycle, else,  $T_g(x) = gx = x$  implies that  $g = e$ . Thus,  $T_g$  is a product of  $(2k + 1)$  cycles. Thus, set of elements in  $G$  corresponds to even permutations in  $S_G$  is a normal subgroup of index 2. Thus, it is a normal subgroup of  $G$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 25.3** [Generalized Cayley Theorem] Suppose  $H < G$ . Let  $S$  be the group of all permutation of the left cosets of  $H$  in  $G$ . Then  $\phi : G \rightarrow S$  defined by  $\phi(g) = T_g$  such that  $T_g(xH) = gxH$  is a group homomorphism. The kernel of  $\phi$  lies in  $H$  and contains every normal subgroup of  $G$  that is contained in  $H$ .

*Proof.* Every  $g \in G$  induces a permutation  $T_g$  of the cosets  $xH$  of  $H$  by the action  $T_g(xH) = gxH$ .

Now,  $\phi : G \rightarrow S$  defined by  $\phi(g) = T_g$  is a group homomorphism, and  $g \in \text{Ker}(\phi)$  implies that  $T_g$  is the identity map so that  $H = T_g(H) = gH$ . Thus,  $g \in H$ . So,  $\text{Ker}(\phi) \subseteq H$ .

Moreover, for any normal subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  lying in  $H$  and  $k \in K$ , we have  $T_k(xH) = kxH = x\hat{k}H = xH$ . Thus,  $k \in \text{Ker}(\phi) \subseteq H$ . □

**Corollary 1** [Index Theorem] If  $G$  is a finite group and  $H$  is a proper subgroup of  $G$  such that  $|G|$  does not divide  $|G : H|!$ , then  $H$  contains a non-trivial normal subgroup of  $G$ . So,  $G$  is not simple.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\phi$  is defined as in the proof of Theorem 25.3. Then  $\text{Ker}(\phi)$  is normal in  $G$  contained in  $H$ , and  $G/\text{Ker}(\phi)$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $S$ . Thus,  $|G/\text{Ker}(\phi)| = |G|/|\text{Ker}(\phi)|$  divides  $|S| = |G : H|!$ . Since  $|G|$  does not divide  $|G : H|!$ , the order of  $\text{Ker}(\phi)$  must be greater than 1.  $\square$

**Corollary 2** [Embedding Theorem] If a finite non-Abelian simple group  $G$  has a subgroups of index  $n$ , then  $G$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $A_n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $H$  be the subgroup of index  $n$ , and let  $S_n$  be the group of all permutations of the  $n$  left cosets of  $H$  in  $G$ . By Theorem 25.3, there is a non-trivial homomorphism from  $G$  into  $S_n$ .

Since  $G$  is simple and the kernel of a homomorphism is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , we see that the mapping from  $G$  into  $S_n$  is one-to-one, so that  $G$  is isomorphic to some subgroup of  $S_n$ .

So,  $G \cap A_n = G$  or  $G \cap A_n$  is a subgroup of index 2.  $\square$

# Further elimination of possible orders of simple groups

By the Index Theorem, we can further eliminate the possible orders of simple groups.

**Example** If  $|G| = 80$ , then 16 does not divide  $5!$ . So,  $G$  is not simple.

Same argument applies to  $|G| = 12, 24, 36, 48, 96, 108, 160, 192$ .

We are left with: 56, 60, 72, 105, 112, 120, 132, 144, 168, and 180.

**Example** For  $56 = 8 \cdot 7$ , assume that there are 8 7-element subgroups, and 7 8-element subgroups. Then we get  $8 \cdot 6$  order 7 elements, and at least  $8 + 8 - 4 = 12$  different elements in the union of 2 8-element subgroups, which is too many.

Similarly, we can get rid of 105, 132.



## Further techniques

We are left with 60, 72, 112, 120, 144, 168, 180.

Of course,  $A_5$  has 60 element is simple. To show that  $A_5$  is simple, assume that  $A_5$  has nontrivial proper subgroup  $H$ . Then  $|H|$  can be 2,3,4,5,6,10,12,15,20,30.

Now,  $A_5$  has 24 elements of order 5, 20 elements of order 3, no elements of order 15.

If  $|H|$  is 3, 6, 12, 15, then  $|A_5/H|$  is relatively prime to 3 so that all 20 order 3 elements will be in  $H$ !

If  $|H|$  is 5, 10, 20, then  $|A_5/H|$  is relatively prime to 5 so that all 24 order 5 elements will be in  $H$ !

If  $|H| = 30$ , then  $|A_5/H|$  is relatively prime to 3 and 5 so that all the order 3 and 5 elements will be in  $H$ !

If  $|H| = 2$  or 4, then  $|A_5/H| = 30$  or 15. Then  $A_5/H$  has an element of order 15 implying  $A_5$  has an element of order 15, a contradiction.

Similarly, one can show that  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z}_7)/Z(SL(2, \mathbb{Z}_7))$  has 168 (?) elements is simple.