

Preservation of essential matricial range

Chi-Kwong Li

Department of Mathematics, College of William and Mary, Virginia,
Institute for Quantum Computing, University of Waterloo

Joint work with

Vern Paulsen (University of Waterloo), Yiu-Tung Poon (Iowa State University).

The joint q -matricial range

- Let $B(H)$ be the algebra of bounded linear operators acting on the Hilbert space H equipped with the inner product $\langle x, y \rangle$.

The joint q -matricial range

- Let $B(H)$ be the algebra of bounded linear operators acting on the Hilbert space H equipped with the inner product $\langle x, y \rangle$.
- If $\dim H = n$, we identify $H = \mathbb{C}^n$ with $\langle x, y \rangle = y^*x$, and identify $B(H)$ with M_n , the algebra of $n \times n$ complex matrices.

The joint q -matricial range

- Let $B(H)$ be the algebra of bounded linear operators acting on the Hilbert space H equipped with the inner product $\langle x, y \rangle$.
- If $\dim H = n$, we identify $H = \mathbb{C}^n$ with $\langle x, y \rangle = y^*x$, and identify $B(H)$ with M_n , the algebra of $n \times n$ complex matrices.
- Let \mathcal{V}_q be the set of partial isometries $X : \mathbb{C}^q \rightarrow H$ such that $X^*X = I_q$.

The joint q -matricial range

- Let $B(H)$ be the algebra of bounded linear operators acting on the Hilbert space H equipped with the inner product $\langle x, y \rangle$.
- If $\dim H = n$, we identify $H = \mathbb{C}^n$ with $\langle x, y \rangle = y^*x$, and identify $B(H)$ with M_n , the algebra of $n \times n$ complex matrices.
- Let \mathcal{V}_q be the set of partial isometries $X : \mathbb{C}^q \rightarrow H$ such that $X^*X = I_q$.
- The **joint spatial q -matricial range** of $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m) \in B(H)^m$ is defined as

$$W_s^q(\mathbf{A}) = \{(X^*A_1X, \dots, X^*A_mX) : X \in \mathcal{V}_q\}.$$

The joint q -matricial range

- Let $B(H)$ be the algebra of bounded linear operators acting on the Hilbert space H equipped with the inner product $\langle x, y \rangle$.
- If $\dim H = n$, we identify $H = \mathbb{C}^n$ with $\langle x, y \rangle = y^*x$, and identify $B(H)$ with M_n , the algebra of $n \times n$ complex matrices.
- Let \mathcal{V}_q be the set of partial isometries $X : \mathbb{C}^q \rightarrow H$ such that $X^*X = I_q$.
- The **joint spatial q -matricial range** of $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m) \in B(H)^m$ is defined as

$$W_s^q(\mathbf{A}) = \{(X^*A_1X, \dots, X^*A_mX) : X \in \mathcal{V}_q\}.$$

- So, $(B_1, \dots, B_m) \in W_s^q(\mathbf{A})$ if and only if there is a unitary $U = [X|\tilde{X}]$ such that

$$U^*A_jU = \begin{pmatrix} B_j & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

The joint q -matricial range

- Let $B(H)$ be the algebra of bounded linear operators acting on the Hilbert space H equipped with the inner product $\langle x, y \rangle$.
- If $\dim H = n$, we identify $H = \mathbb{C}^n$ with $\langle x, y \rangle = y^*x$, and identify $B(H)$ with M_n , the algebra of $n \times n$ complex matrices.
- Let \mathcal{V}_q be the set of partial isometries $X : \mathbb{C}^q \rightarrow H$ such that $X^*X = I_q$.
- The **joint spatial q -matricial range** of $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m) \in B(H)^m$ is defined as

$$W_s^q(\mathbf{A}) = \{(X^*A_1X, \dots, X^*A_mX) : X \in \mathcal{V}_q\}.$$

- So, $(B_1, \dots, B_m) \in W_s^q(\mathbf{A})$ if and only if there is a unitary $U = [X|\tilde{X}]$ such that

$$U^*A_jU = \begin{pmatrix} B_j & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

- The q -matricial range is useful in the study of operators.

The joint q -matricial range

- Let $B(H)$ be the algebra of bounded linear operators acting on the Hilbert space H equipped with the inner product $\langle x, y \rangle$.
- If $\dim H = n$, we identify $H = \mathbb{C}^n$ with $\langle x, y \rangle = y^*x$, and identify $B(H)$ with M_n , the algebra of $n \times n$ complex matrices.
- Let \mathcal{V}_q be the set of partial isometries $X : \mathbb{C}^q \rightarrow H$ such that $X^*X = I_q$.
- The **joint spatial q -matricial range** of $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m) \in B(H)^m$ is defined as

$$W_s^q(\mathbf{A}) = \{(X^*A_1X, \dots, X^*A_mX) : X \in \mathcal{V}_q\}.$$

- So, $(B_1, \dots, B_m) \in W_s^q(\mathbf{A})$ if and only if there is a unitary $U = [X|\tilde{X}]$ such that

$$U^*A_jU = \begin{pmatrix} B_j & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

- The q -matricial range is useful in the study of operators.
- For example, two compact operators $A, B \in B(H)$ are unitarily similar if and only if $W^q(A) = W^q(B)$ for all positive integer q .

The joint q -matricial range

- Let $B(H)$ be the algebra of bounded linear operators acting on the Hilbert space H equipped with the inner product $\langle x, y \rangle$.
- If $\dim H = n$, we identify $H = \mathbb{C}^n$ with $\langle x, y \rangle = y^*x$, and identify $B(H)$ with M_n , the algebra of $n \times n$ complex matrices.
- Let \mathcal{V}_q be the set of partial isometries $X : \mathbb{C}^q \rightarrow H$ such that $X^*X = I_q$.
- The **joint spatial q -matricial range** of $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m) \in B(H)^m$ is defined as

$$W_s^q(\mathbf{A}) = \{(X^*A_1X, \dots, X^*A_mX) : X \in \mathcal{V}_q\}.$$

- So, $(B_1, \dots, B_m) \in W_s^q(\mathbf{A})$ if and only if there is a unitary $U = [X|\tilde{X}]$ such that

$$U^*A_jU = \begin{pmatrix} B_j & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

- The q -matricial range is useful in the study of operators.
- For example, two compact operators $A, B \in B(H)$ are unitarily similar if and only if $W^q(A) = W^q(B)$ for all positive integer q .

The algebra matricial range

Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra.

The **joint (algebra) q -matricial range** of $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m) \in \mathcal{A}^m$ is defined by

The algebra matricial range

Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra.

The **joint (algebra) q -matricial range** of $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m) \in \mathcal{A}^m$ is defined by

$$W^q(\mathbf{A}) = \{ \phi(\mathbf{A}) = (\phi(A_1), \dots, \phi(A_m)) : \phi \text{ is a unital} \\ \text{complete positive linear map from } \mathcal{A} \text{ to } M_q \},$$

which is a **compact convex** set containing $W_s^q(\mathbf{A})$.

The algebra matricial range

Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra.

The **joint (algebra) q -matricial range** of $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m) \in \mathcal{A}^m$ is defined by

$$W^q(\mathbf{A}) = \{ \phi(\mathbf{A}) = (\phi(A_1), \dots, \phi(A_m)) : \phi \text{ is a unital} \\ \text{complete positive linear map from } \mathcal{A} \text{ to } M_q \},$$

which is a **compact convex** set containing $W_s^q(\mathbf{A})$.

For $\mathbf{A} \in B(H)^m$ it is hard to check whether $(B_1, \dots, B_m) \in M_q^m$ lies in $W_s^q(\mathbf{A})$.

The algebra matricial range

Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra.

The **joint (algebra) q -matricial range** of $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m) \in \mathcal{A}^m$ is defined by

$$W^q(\mathbf{A}) = \{\phi(\mathbf{A}) = (\phi(A_1), \dots, \phi(A_m)) : \phi \text{ is a unital} \\ \text{complete positive linear map from } \mathcal{A} \text{ to } M_q\},$$

which is a **compact convex** set containing $W_s^q(\mathbf{A})$.

For $\mathbf{A} \in B(H)^m$ it is hard to check whether $(B_1, \dots, B_m) \in M_q^m$ lies in $W_s^q(\mathbf{A})$. For $W^q(\mathbf{A})$, we have the following.

Proposition [Li,Paulsen,Poon, 2018]

Let $A_1, \dots, A_m \in B(H)$. An m -tuple of matrices $(B_1, \dots, B_m) \in M_q^m$ lies in $W^q(A_1, \dots, A_m)$ if and only if for any $R_0, R_1, \dots, R_m \in M_q$,

$$\|I_q \otimes R_0 + B_1 \otimes R_1 + \dots + B_m \otimes R_m\| \\ \leq \|I_H \otimes R_0 + A_1 \otimes R_1 + \dots + A_m \otimes R_m\|.$$

Essential matricial range

Let $K(H)$ be the set of compact operators in $B(H)$, $B(H)/K(H)$ be the **Calkin algebra**, and $\pi : B(H) \rightarrow B(H)/K(H)$ is the canonical surjection.

Essential matricial range

Let $K(H)$ be the set of compact operators in $B(H)$, $B(H)/K(H)$ be the **Calkin algebra**, and $\pi : B(H) \rightarrow B(H)/K(H)$ is the canonical surjection.

Then the **joint essential q -matricial range** of $\mathbf{A} \in B(H)$ is defined by

$$\cap \{W^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}) : \mathbf{K} \in K(H)^m\},$$

which equals $W^q(\pi(\mathbf{A}))$ with $\pi(\mathbf{A}) = (\pi(A_1), \dots, \pi(A_m))$.

Essential matricial range

Let $K(H)$ be the set of compact operators in $B(H)$, $B(H)/K(H)$ be the **Calkin algebra**, and $\pi : B(H) \rightarrow B(H)/K(H)$ is the canonical surjection.

Then the **joint essential q -matricial range** of $\mathbf{A} \in B(H)$ is defined by

$$\cap \{W^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}) : \mathbf{K} \in K(H)^m\},$$

which equals $W^q(\pi(\mathbf{A}))$ with $\pi(\mathbf{A}) = (\pi(A_1), \dots, \pi(A_m))$.

Define the **essential spatial q -matricial range** by

$$W_{ess}^q \mathbf{A} = \cap \{\text{cl}(W_s^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K})) : \mathbf{K} \in K(H)^m\}.$$

Essential matricial range

Let $K(H)$ be the set of compact operators in $B(H)$, $B(H)/K(H)$ be the **Calkin algebra**, and $\pi : B(H) \rightarrow B(H)/K(H)$ is the canonical surjection.

Then the **joint essential q -matricial range** of $\mathbf{A} \in B(H)$ is defined by

$$\cap \{W^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}) : \mathbf{K} \in K(H)^m\},$$

which equals $W^q(\pi(\mathbf{A}))$ with $\pi(\mathbf{A}) = (\pi(A_1), \dots, \pi(A_m))$.

Define the **essential spatial q -matricial range** by

$$W_{ess}^q \mathbf{A} = \cap \{\text{cl}(W_s^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K})) : \mathbf{K} \in K(H)^m\}.$$

The set $W^q(\pi(\mathbf{A}))$ is C^* -convex.

Essential matricial range

Let $K(H)$ be the set of compact operators in $B(H)$, $B(H)/K(H)$ be the **Calkin algebra**, and $\pi : B(H) \rightarrow B(H)/K(H)$ is the canonical surjection.

Then the **joint essential q -matricial range** of $\mathbf{A} \in B(H)$ is defined by

$$\cap \{W^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}) : \mathbf{K} \in K(H)^m\},$$

which equals $W^q(\pi(\mathbf{A}))$ with $\pi(\mathbf{A}) = (\pi(A_1), \dots, \pi(A_m))$.

Define the **essential spatial q -matricial range** by

$$W_{\text{ess}}^q(\mathbf{A}) = \cap \{\text{cl}(W_s^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K})) : \mathbf{K} \in K(H)^m\}.$$

The set $W^q(\pi(\mathbf{A}))$ is C^* -convex. That is, for any $\mathbf{B}_1, \dots, \mathbf{B}_N \in W^q(\pi(\mathbf{A}))$ and $L_1, \dots, L_N \in M_q$ satisfying $\sum_{j=1}^N L_j^* L_j = I_q$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^N L_j^* \mathbf{B}_j L_j \in W^q(\pi(\mathbf{A})).$$

Theorem [Li,Paulsen,Poon,2018]

Let $\mathbf{A} \in B(H)^m$. Then $W_{\text{ess}}^q(\mathbf{A})$ is C^* -convex. Consequently,

$$W_{\text{ess}}^q(\mathbf{A}) = W^q(\pi(\mathbf{A})).$$

Preservation problem

Problems and results [Smith and Ward, 1980]

Let $\mathbf{A} \in B(H)^m$.

- For a given positive integer N , can we find $\mathbf{K} \in K(H)^m$ such that

$$W^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}) = W^q(\pi(\mathbf{A})) \quad \text{for all } q \in \{1, \dots, N\}?$$

Preservation problem

Problems and results [Smith and Ward, 1980]

Let $\mathbf{A} \in B(H)^m$.

- For a given positive integer N , can we find $\mathbf{K} \in K(H)^m$ such that

$$W^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}) = W^q(\pi(\mathbf{A})) \quad \text{for all } q \in \{1, \dots, N\}?$$

The answer is yes if $\mathbf{A} = (A_1)$.

- Can we find $\mathbf{K} \in K(H)^m$ such that

$$W^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}) = W^q(\pi(\mathbf{A})) \quad \text{for all positive integer } q?$$

Preservation problem

Problems and results [Smith and Ward, 1980]

Let $\mathbf{A} \in B(H)^m$.

- For a given positive integer N , can we find $\mathbf{K} \in K(H)^m$ such that

$$W^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}) = W^q(\pi(\mathbf{A})) \quad \text{for all } q \in \{1, \dots, N\}?$$

The answer is yes if $\mathbf{A} = (A_1)$.

- Can we find $\mathbf{K} \in K(H)^m$ such that

$$W^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}) = W^q(\pi(\mathbf{A})) \quad \text{for all positive integer } q?$$

The answer is yes if $\mathbf{A} = (A_1)$, where $A_1 = A_1^*$.

Preservation problem

Problems and results [Smith and Ward, 1980]

Let $\mathbf{A} \in B(H)^m$.

- For a given positive integer N , can we find $\mathbf{K} \in K(H)^m$ such that

$$W^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}) = W^q(\pi(\mathbf{A})) \quad \text{for all } q \in \{1, \dots, N\}?$$

The answer is yes if $\mathbf{A} = (A_1)$.

- Can we find $\mathbf{K} \in K(H)^m$ such that

$$W^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}) = W^q(\pi(\mathbf{A})) \quad \text{for all positive integer } q?$$

The answer is yes if $\mathbf{A} = (A_1)$, where $A_1 = A_1^*$.

Theorem [Müller, 2010]

Let $\mathbf{A} \in B(H)^m$. There is $\mathbf{K} \in K(H)^m$ such that

$$W_{\text{ess}}^1(\mathbf{A}) = \text{cl}(W_s^1(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K})) = W^1(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}) = W^1(\pi(\mathbf{A})).$$

Theorem [Li, Paulsen, Poon, 2018]

Let $\mathbf{A} \in B(H)^m$. Suppose N is a positive integer. Then there is $\mathbf{K} \in K(H)^m$ such that for all $q \in \{1, \dots, N\}$:

Theorem [Li, Paulsen, Poon, 2018]

Let $\mathbf{A} \in B(H)^m$. Suppose N is a positive integer. Then there is $\mathbf{K} \in K(H)^m$ such that for all $q \in \{1, \dots, N\}$:

$$W_{\text{ess}}^q(\mathbf{A}) = W^q(\pi(\mathbf{A})) = \text{cl}(W_s^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K})) = W^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}).$$

Theorem [Li, Paulsen, Poon, 2018]

Let $\mathbf{A} \in B(H)^m$. Suppose N is a positive integer. Then there is $\mathbf{K} \in K(H)^m$ such that for all $q \in \{1, \dots, N\}$:

$$W_{\text{ess}}^q(\mathbf{A}) = W^q(\pi(\mathbf{A})) = \text{cl}(W_s^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K})) = W^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}).$$

Theorem [Li, Paulsen, Poon, 2018]

Let $\mathbf{A} \in S(H)^m$ be an m -tuple of self-adjoint operators such that $W_{\text{ess}}(1 : \mathbf{A})$ is a simplex in \mathbb{R}^m , i.e., a polyhedral set with $m + 1$ vertices.

Theorem [Li, Paulsen, Poon, 2018]

Let $\mathbf{A} \in B(H)^m$. Suppose N is a positive integer. Then there is $\mathbf{K} \in K(H)^m$ such that for all $q \in \{1, \dots, N\}$:

$$W_{\text{ess}}^q(\mathbf{A}) = W^q(\pi(\mathbf{A})) = \text{cl}(W_s^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K})) = W^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}).$$

Theorem [Li, Paulsen, Poon, 2018]

Let $\mathbf{A} \in S(H)^m$ be an m -tuple of self-adjoint operators such that $W_{\text{ess}}(1 : \mathbf{A})$ is a simplex in \mathbb{R}^m , i.e., a polyhedral set with $m + 1$ vertices. Then there is $\mathbf{K} \in K(H)^m$ such that for all positive integer q :

$$W_{\text{ess}}^q(\mathbf{A}) = W^q(\pi(\mathbf{A})) = \text{cl}(W_s^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K})) = W^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}).$$

Theorem [Li, Paulsen, Poon, 2018]

Let $\mathbf{A} \in B(H)^m$. Suppose N is a positive integer. Then there is $\mathbf{K} \in K(H)^m$ such that for all $q \in \{1, \dots, N\}$:

$$W_{\text{ess}}^q(\mathbf{A}) = W^q(\pi(\mathbf{A})) = \text{cl}(W_s^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K})) = W^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}).$$

Theorem [Li, Paulsen, Poon, 2018]

Let $\mathbf{A} \in S(H)^m$ be an m -tuple of self-adjoint operators such that $W_{\text{ess}}(1 : \mathbf{A})$ is a simplex in \mathbb{R}^m , i.e., a polyhedral set with $m + 1$ vertices. Then there is $\mathbf{K} \in K(H)^m$ such that for all positive integer q :

$$W_{\text{ess}}^q(\mathbf{A}) = W^q(\pi(\mathbf{A})) = \text{cl}(W_s^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K})) = W^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}).$$

The proof of the first theorem depends on the connection of maps from $B(H)$ to M_q and maps from $B(H)/K(H)$ to M_q .

Theorem [Li, Paulsen, Poon, 2018]

Let $\mathbf{A} \in B(H)^m$. Suppose N is a positive integer. Then there is $\mathbf{K} \in K(H)^m$ such that for all $q \in \{1, \dots, N\}$:

$$W_{\text{ess}}^q(\mathbf{A}) = W^q(\pi(\mathbf{A})) = \text{cl}(W_s^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K})) = W^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}).$$

Theorem [Li, Paulsen, Poon, 2018]

Let $\mathbf{A} \in S(H)^m$ be an m -tuple of self-adjoint operators such that $W_{\text{ess}}(1 : \mathbf{A})$ is a simplex in \mathbb{R}^m , i.e., a polyhedral set with $m + 1$ vertices. Then there is $\mathbf{K} \in K(H)^m$ such that for all positive integer q :

$$W_{\text{ess}}^q(\mathbf{A}) = W^q(\pi(\mathbf{A})) = \text{cl}(W_s^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K})) = W^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}).$$

The proof of the first theorem depends on the connection of maps from $B(H)$ to M_q and maps from $B(H)/K(H)$ to M_q .

The proof of the second theorem depends on a result on joint dilation.

Related results

Theorem [Binding,Farenick,Li,1995]

Let $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m) \in M_q^m$ is an m -tuple of Hermitian matrices such that $W(A_1, \dots, A_m)$ is a **simplex** in \mathbb{R}^m .

Related results

Theorem [Binding,Farenick,Li,1995]

Let $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m) \in M_q^m$ is an m -tuple of Hermitian matrices such that $W(A_1, \dots, A_m)$ is a **simplex** in \mathbb{R}^m . Then $\mathbf{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_m) \in S(H)^m$ satisfies $W(\mathbf{B}) \subseteq W(\mathbf{A})$ if and only if

Theorem [Binding,Farenick,Li,1995]

Let $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m) \in M_q^m$ is an m -tuple of Hermitian matrices such that $W(A_1, \dots, A_m)$ is a **simplex** in \mathbb{R}^m . Then $\mathbf{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_m) \in S(H)^m$ satisfies $W(\mathbf{B}) \subseteq W(\mathbf{A})$ if and only if there is an partial isometry X such that

$$B_j = X^*(I \otimes A_j)X \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Related results

Theorem [Binding,Farenick,Li,1995]

Let $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m) \in M_q^m$ is an m -tuple of Hermitian matrices such that $W(A_1, \dots, A_m)$ is a **simplex** in \mathbb{R}^m . Then $\mathbf{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_m) \in S(H)^m$ satisfies $W(\mathbf{B}) \subseteq W(\mathbf{A})$ if and only if there is an partial isometry X such that

$$B_j = X^*(I \otimes A_j)X \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Proposition [Li,Paulsen,Poon, 2018]

Let $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m) \in S(H)^m$.

Related results

Theorem [Binding,Farenick,Li,1995]

Let $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m) \in M_q^m$ is an m -tuple of Hermitian matrices such that $W(A_1, \dots, A_m)$ is a **simplex** in \mathbb{R}^m . Then $\mathbf{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_m) \in S(H)^m$ satisfies $W(\mathbf{B}) \subseteq W(\mathbf{A})$ if and only if there is an partial isometry X such that

$$B_j = X^*(I \otimes A_j)X \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Proposition [Li,Paulsen,Poon, 2018]

Let $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m) \in S(H)^m$. Suppose $W_{\text{ess}}^1(\mathbf{A})$ is a subset of a simplex \mathcal{S} in \mathbb{R}^m with vertices $v_k = (v_{1k}, \dots, v_{mk})$ for $k = 1, \dots, m+1$.

Related results

Theorem [Binding,Farenick,Li,1995]

Let $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m) \in M_q^m$ is an m -tuple of Hermitian matrices such that $W(A_1, \dots, A_m)$ is a **simplex** in \mathbb{R}^m . Then $\mathbf{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_m) \in S(H)^m$ satisfies $W(\mathbf{B}) \subseteq W(\mathbf{A})$ if and only if there is an partial isometry X such that

$$B_j = X^*(I \otimes A_j)X \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Proposition [Li,Paulsen,Poon, 2018]

Let $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m) \in S(H)^m$. Suppose $W_{\text{ess}}^1(\mathbf{A})$ is a subset of a simplex \mathcal{S} in \mathbb{R}^m with vertices $v_k = (v_{1k}, \dots, v_{mk})$ for $k = 1, \dots, m+1$. Then there is $\mathbf{K} = (K_1, \dots, K_m) \in S(H)^m \cap K(H)^m$ such that

Theorem [Binding,Farenick,Li,1995]

Let $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m) \in M_q^m$ is an m -tuple of Hermitian matrices such that $W(A_1, \dots, A_m)$ is a **simplex** in \mathbb{R}^m . Then $\mathbf{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_m) \in S(H)^m$ satisfies $W(\mathbf{B}) \subseteq W(\mathbf{A})$ if and only if there is an partial isometry X such that

$$B_j = X^*(I \otimes A_j)X \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Proposition [Li,Paulsen,Poon, 2018]

Let $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m) \in S(H)^m$. Suppose $W_{\text{ess}}^1(\mathbf{A})$ is a subset of a simplex \mathcal{S} in \mathbb{R}^m with vertices $v_k = (v_{1k}, \dots, v_{mk})$ for $k = 1, \dots, m+1$. Then there is $\mathbf{K} = (K_1, \dots, K_m) \in S(H)^m \cap K(H)^m$ such that for any $R_0, \dots, R_m \in M_q$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|R_0 \otimes I + R_1 \otimes (A_1 + K_1) + \dots + R_m \otimes (A_m + K_m)\| \\ & \leq \max\{\|R_0 + v_{1k}R_1 + \dots + v_{mk}R_m\| : 1 \leq k \leq m+1\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Theorem [Binding, Farenick, Li, 1995]

Let $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m) \in M_q^m$ is an m -tuple of Hermitian matrices such that $W(A_1, \dots, A_m)$ is a **simplex** in \mathbb{R}^m . Then $\mathbf{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_m) \in S(H)^m$ satisfies $W(\mathbf{B}) \subseteq W(\mathbf{A})$ if and only if there is an partial isometry X such that

$$B_j = X^*(I \otimes A_j)X \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Proposition [Li, Paulsen, Poon, 2018]

Let $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m) \in S(H)^m$. Suppose $W_{\text{ess}}^1(\mathbf{A})$ is a subset of a simplex \mathcal{S} in \mathbb{R}^m with vertices $v_k = (v_{1k}, \dots, v_{mk})$ for $k = 1, \dots, m+1$. Then there is $\mathbf{K} = (K_1, \dots, K_m) \in S(H)^m \cap K(H)^m$ such that for any $R_0, \dots, R_m \in M_q$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|R_0 \otimes I + R_1 \otimes (A_1 + K_1) + \dots + R_m \otimes (A_m + K_m)\| \\ & \leq \max\{\|R_0 + v_{1k}R_1 + \dots + v_{mk}R_m\| : 1 \leq k \leq m+1\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

In fact, \mathbf{K} can be chosen such that the equality holds in (1) for any choice of $R_0, \dots, R_m \in M_q$.

The (p, q) -numerical range

Define the (p, q) -numerical range $\Lambda_{p,q}(\mathbf{A})$ of $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m)$ to be the set of $(B_1, \dots, B_m) \in M_q^m$ for the existence of $X \in \mathcal{V}_{pq}$ such that

$$I_p \otimes B_j = X^* A_j X \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, m,$$

The (p, q) -numerical range

Define the (p, q) -numerical range $\Lambda_{p,q}(\mathbf{A})$ of $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m)$ to be the set of $(B_1, \dots, B_m) \in M_q^m$ for the existence of $X \in \mathcal{V}_{pq}$ such that

$$I_p \otimes B_j = X^* A_j X \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, m,$$

and the essential (p, q) -numerical range by

$$\Lambda_{p,q}^{\text{ess}}(\mathbf{A}) = \cap \{\text{cl}(\Lambda_{p,q}(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K})) : \mathbf{K} \in K(H)^m\}.$$

The (p, q) -numerical range

Define the (p, q) -numerical range $\Lambda_{p,q}(\mathbf{A})$ of $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m)$ to be the set of $(B_1, \dots, B_m) \in M_q^m$ for the existence of $X \in \mathcal{V}_{pq}$ such that

$$I_p \otimes B_j = X^* A_j X \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, m,$$

and the essential (p, q) -numerical range by

$$\Lambda_{p,q}^{\text{ess}}(\mathbf{A}) = \cap \{ \text{cl}(\Lambda_{p,q}(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K})) : \mathbf{K} \in K(H)^m \}.$$

When $q = 1$, we get the rank p -numerical range $\Lambda_p(\mathbf{A})$ introduced in [Choi, Kribs, Zyczkowski, 2006] for the study of quantum error correction.

The (p, q) -numerical range

Define the (p, q) -numerical range $\Lambda_{p,q}(\mathbf{A})$ of $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m)$ to be the set of $(B_1, \dots, B_m) \in M_q^m$ for the existence of $X \in \mathcal{V}_{pq}$ such that

$$I_p \otimes B_j = X^* A_j X \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, m,$$

and the essential (p, q) -numerical range by

$$\Lambda_{p,q}^{\text{ess}}(\mathbf{A}) = \cap \{\text{cl}(\Lambda_{p,q}(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K})) : \mathbf{K} \in K(H)^m\}.$$

When $q = 1$, we get the rank p -numerical range $\Lambda_p(\mathbf{A})$ introduced in [Choi, Kribs, Zyczkowski, 2006] for the study of quantum error correction.

The (p, q) -numerical range is related to the work of [Kribs, Spekkens, 2016] concerning the quantum error correctable subsystems.

Theorem [Li,Paulsen,Poon,2018]

Let $\mathbf{A} \in S(H)^m$.

- (1) For any positive integer N , there is $\mathbf{K} \in S_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{H})^m$ such that for every $q \in \{1, \dots, N\}$,

Theorem [Li,Paulsen,Poon,2018]

Let $\mathbf{A} \in S(H)^m$.

- (1) For any positive integer N , there is $\mathbf{K} \in S_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{H})^m$ such that for every $q \in \{1, \dots, N\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_{p,q}^{\text{ess}}(\mathbf{A}) &= W_{\text{ess}}^q(\mathbf{A}) = W^q(\pi(\mathbf{A})) \\ &= \Lambda_{p,q}(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}) = W_s^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}) = W^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}).\end{aligned}$$

Theorem [Li,Paulsen,Poon,2018]

Let $\mathbf{A} \in S(H)^m$.

- (1) For any positive integer N , there is $\mathbf{K} \in S_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{H})^m$ such that for every $q \in \{1, \dots, N\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_{p,q}^{\text{ess}}(\mathbf{A}) &= W_{\text{ess}}^q(\mathbf{A}) = W^q(\pi(\mathbf{A})) \\ &= \Lambda_{p,q}(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}) = W_s^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}) = W^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}).\end{aligned}$$

- (2) If $W_{\text{ess}}(\mathbf{A})$ is a simplex in \mathbb{R}^m , then there is $\mathbf{K} \in S_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{H})^m$ such that for every positive integer q ,

Theorem [Li,Paulsen,Poon,2018]

Let $\mathbf{A} \in S(H)^m$.

- (1) For any positive integer N , there is $\mathbf{K} \in S_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{H})^m$ such that for every $q \in \{1, \dots, N\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_{p,q}^{\text{ess}}(\mathbf{A}) &= W_{\text{ess}}^q(\mathbf{A}) = W^q(\pi(\mathbf{A})) \\ &= \Lambda_{p,q}(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}) = W_s^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}) = W^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}).\end{aligned}$$

- (2) If $W_{\text{ess}}(\mathbf{A})$ is a simplex in \mathbb{R}^m , then there is $\mathbf{K} \in S_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{H})^m$ such that for every positive integer q ,

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_{p,q}^{\text{ess}}(\mathbf{A}) &= W_{\text{ess}}^q(\mathbf{A}) = W^q(\pi(\mathbf{A})) \\ &= \Lambda_{p,q}(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}) = W_s^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}) = W^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}).\end{aligned}$$

Theorem [Li,Paulsen,Poon,2018]

Let $\mathbf{A} \in S(H)^m$.

- (1) For any positive integer N , there is $\mathbf{K} \in S_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{H})^m$ such that for every $q \in \{1, \dots, N\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_{p,q}^{\text{ess}}(\mathbf{A}) &= W_{\text{ess}}^q(\mathbf{A}) = W^q(\pi(\mathbf{A})) \\ &= \Lambda_{p,q}(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}) = W_s^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}) = W^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}).\end{aligned}$$

- (2) If $W_{\text{ess}}(\mathbf{A})$ is a simplex in \mathbb{R}^m , then there is $\mathbf{K} \in S_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{H})^m$ such that for every positive integer q ,

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_{p,q}^{\text{ess}}(\mathbf{A}) &= W_{\text{ess}}^q(\mathbf{A}) = W^q(\pi(\mathbf{A})) \\ &= \Lambda_{p,q}(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}) = W_s^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}) = W^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K}).\end{aligned}$$

The proof depends on some recent results by [Lau,Li,Poon,Sze,2018].

Further question

Suppose $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m) \in \mathcal{S}(H)^m$ with $m \geq 4$. Determine whether there is $\mathbf{K} = (K_1, \dots, K_m) \in \mathcal{K}(H)^m \cup \mathcal{S}(H)^m$ such that

$$W^q(\pi(\mathbf{A})) = \text{cl}(W_{\text{ess}}^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K})) \quad \text{for every positive integer } q.$$

Further question

Suppose $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m) \in \mathcal{S}(H)^m$ with $m \geq 4$. Determine whether there is $\mathbf{K} = (K_1, \dots, K_m) \in \mathcal{K}(H)^m \cup \mathcal{S}(H)^m$ such that

$$W^q(\pi(\mathbf{A})) = \text{cl}(W_{\text{ess}}^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K})) \quad \text{for every positive integer } q.$$

For $m = 1$, yes.

Further question

Suppose $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m) \in \mathcal{S}(H)^m$ with $m \geq 4$. Determine whether there is $\mathbf{K} = (K_1, \dots, K_m) \in \mathcal{K}(H)^m \cup \mathcal{S}(H)^m$ such that

$$W^q(\pi(\mathbf{A})) = \text{cl}(W_{\text{ess}}^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K})) \quad \text{for every positive integer } q.$$

For $m = 1$, yes.

For $m \geq 4$, No. By a result of Paulsen.

Further question

Suppose $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m) \in \mathcal{S}(H)^m$ with $m \geq 4$. Determine whether there is $\mathbf{K} = (K_1, \dots, K_m) \in \mathcal{K}(H)^m \cup \mathcal{S}(H)^m$ such that

$$W^q(\pi(\mathbf{A})) = \text{cl}(W_{\text{ess}}^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K})) \quad \text{for every positive integer } q.$$

For $m = 1$, yes.

For $m \geq 4$, No. By a result of Paulsen.

How about $m = 2, 3$?

Further question

Suppose $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m) \in \mathcal{S}(H)^m$ with $m \geq 4$. Determine whether there is $\mathbf{K} = (K_1, \dots, K_m) \in \mathcal{K}(H)^m \cup \mathcal{S}(H)^m$ such that

$$W^q(\pi(\mathbf{A})) = \text{cl}(W_{\text{ess}}^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K})) \quad \text{for every positive integer } q.$$

For $m = 1$, yes.

For $m \geq 4$, No. By a result of Paulsen.

How about $m = 2, 3$?

Any comments and suggestions are welcomed!

Further question

Suppose $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_m) \in \mathcal{S}(H)^m$ with $m \geq 4$. Determine whether there is $\mathbf{K} = (K_1, \dots, K_m) \in \mathcal{K}(H)^m \cup \mathcal{S}(H)^m$ such that

$$W^q(\pi(\mathbf{A})) = \text{cl}(W_{\text{ess}}^q(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K})) \quad \text{for every positive integer } q.$$

For $m = 1$, yes.

For $m \geq 4$, No. By a result of Paulsen.

How about $m = 2, 3$?

Any comments and suggestions are welcomed!

Thank you for your attention!



Thank you for supporting WONRA!

Danke!